

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTRODUCTE NO CONTENTO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,285	12/22/2005	Johannes Joseph Schleipen	NL030750US1	5725	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 03/09/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001 Briarcliff Manor, ny 10510			PATANKAR, ANEETA V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/562,285	SCHLEIPEN ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Aneeta Patankar	2627	

	Aneeta Patankar	2627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3' TCR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3' TCR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 								
AMENDMENTS								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's Teply has overcome the billowing rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
	/Jorge L Ortiz-Criado/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2627						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1,7 and 13 have been amended to include the limitations, "a write basis signal having a value which exceeds the threshold value, wherein the threshold value is a lasing threshold for the diode laser device" and "...a magnitude less than the threshold value and extends for a time period to immediately before the write bias signal", which was not presented earlier and therefore requires further search and consideration. Hence, they are not deemed to place the application in better form of appeal.